#### **DEPARTMENT OF STATE REVENUE**

65-20191242.LOF

Page 1

## Letter of Findings: 65-20191242 Indiana Oversize/Overweight Proposed Assessment For the Year 2017

**NOTICE:** IC § 6-8.1-3-3.5 and IC § 4-22-7-7 require the publication of this document in the Indiana Register. This document provides the general public with information about the Indiana Department of Revenue's (the "Department") official position concerning a specific set of facts and issues. This document is effective on its date of publication and remains in effect until the date it is superseded or deleted by the publication of another document in the Indiana Register. The "Holding" section of this document is provided for the convenience of the reader and is not part of the analysis contained in this Letter of Findings.

### **HOLDING**

The Department sent Motor Carrier a proposed assessment for not obtaining an oversize permit when required to do so. Motor Carrier's protest is denied.

#### **ISSUE**

## I. Motor Vehicles - Oversize/Overweight Penalty.

**Authority**: IC § 6-8.1-5-1; IC § 6-8.1-1-1; IC § 9-20-1-1; IC § 9-20-1-2; IC § 9-20-3-2; IC § 9-20-6-11; IC § 9-20-18-14.5; *Indiana Dept. of State Revenue v. Rent-A-Center East, Inc.*, 963 N.E.2d 463 (Ind. 2012); *Lafayette Square Amoco, Inc. v. Indiana Dept. of State Revenue*, 867 N.E.2d 289 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2007).

Motor Carrier protests the assessment of an oversize/overweight civil penalty.

### STATEMENT OF FACTS

Motor Carrier is an Indiana-based business. On September 29, 2017, Motor Carrier's commercial motor vehicle was cited by the Indiana State Police ("ISP") for an oversize violation. As a result, the Department issued Motor Carrier a proposed assessment for an oversize/overweight ("OS/OW") civil penalty. Motor Carrier disagreed with the assessment of penalty and submitted a protest to that effect. This Letter of Findings results. Further facts will be supplied as necessary.

# I. Motor Vehicles - Oversize/Overweight Penalty.

Date: Mar 14,2022 12:19:26AM EDT

## **DISCUSSION**

Motor Carrier protests the imposition of a civil penalty. The Department based its proposed assessment on a report provided by the ISP. The ISP report showed that Motor Carrier was transporting a load in excess of the width allowed under IC § 9-20-3-2. The Department's internal records showed that Motor Carrier did not possess an oversize permit at the time of the traffic stop.

As a threshold issue, it is Motor Carrier's responsibility to establish that the existing proposed assessment is incorrect. As stated in IC § 6-8.1-5-1(c), "[t]he notice of proposed assessment is prima facie evidence that the [D]epartment's claim for the unpaid tax is valid. The burden of proving that the proposed assessment is wrong rests with the person against whom the proposed assessment is made." *Indiana Dept. of State Revenue v. Rent-A-Center East, Inc.*, 963 N.E.2d 463, 466 (Ind. 2012); *Lafayette Square Amoco, Inc. v. Indiana Dept. of State Revenue*, 867 N.E.2d 289, 292 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2007).

According to IC § 9-20-1-1, "[e]xcept as otherwise provided in [IC Art. 9-20], a person, including a transport operator, may not operate or move upon a highway a vehicle or combination of vehicles of a size or weight exceeding the limitations provided in [IC Art. 9-20]."

According to IC § 9-20-1-2, "an owner of a vehicle . . . may not cause or knowingly permit to be operated or moved upon a highway a vehicle or combination of vehicles of a size or weight exceeding the limitations provided in [IC Art. 9-20]."

DIN: 20200401-IR-045200131NRA

According to IC § 9-20-6-11(b), "[a] person may not violate the terms or conditions of a special permit."

IC § 9-20-18-14.5 authorizes the Department to impose civil penalties against Motor Carriers that obtain a permit under IC Art. 9-20 and violate IC Art. 9-20 ("Permit Violation Civil Penalty") or are required, but fail, to obtain a permit under IC Art. 9-20 ("No Permit Civil Penalty"). IC § 9-20-18-14.5(c) provides that a person "a person who transports vehicles or loads subject to this article and fails to obtain a permit required under this article is subject to a civil penalty of not more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for each violation." According to IC § 9-20-18-14.5(b), the Department may subject a person to a civil penalty if the person "obtains a permit under" IC Art. 9-20 and violates IC Art. 9-20 by being overweight or oversize.

IC § 6-8.1-1-1 states that fees and penalties stemming from IC Art. 9-20 violations are a "listed tax." According to IC § 9-20-18-14.5(a)(3), these listed taxes are in addition to and separate from any arrangement or agreement made with a local court or political subdivision regarding the traffic stop.

In this case, the Department issued Motor Carrier a No Permit Civil Penalty. According to the ISP report, Motor Carrier transported cargo that had a width of 10 feet and six inches, more than the eight feet and six inches allowed under IC § 9-20-3-2. The Department offers an oversize permit that allows a carrier to transport a width wider than the statutory limit. Department records, however, show that Motor Carrier did not have a permit at the time of the traffic stop. Motor Carrier stated that this was its first such violation and that the ticket had been paid to a local court. As provided above, the Department is authorized to pursue a civil penalty that is in addition to and separate from fines imposed by a court.

Motor Carrier is required to possess a permit for carrying certain loads that exceed statutory limits at the time of transport. Motor Carrier, however, failed to do so; therefore, it is appropriate for Motor Carrier to receive a No Permit Civil Penalty. The ticket that Motor Carrier paid to the local court is a separate matter and does not affect the imposition of the no permit civil penalty.

#### **FINDING**

Motor Carrier's protest is denied.

January 2, 2020

Posted: 04/01/2020 by Legislative Services Agency An html version of this document.